



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An interview was conducted with Mr. Jansson regarding allowable subject matter. Mr. Rutten explained that the arguments provided were convincing, but that the independent claims did not appear to be claiming the invention as argued on page 3 of the Appeal Brief filed 11/2/05 and would be subject to a possible rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. However, Mr. Rutten suggested that claim 4 appeared to properly claim the invention as argued by the Applicant. Mr. Rutten suggested incorporating the subject matter of claim 4 into claim 1, and similarly for the other independent claims. Mr. Jansson agreed to discuss the amendment with his client. Mr. Rutten suggested that action on the Appeal would be made by the end of the week. On 6/22/06, Mr. Rutten followed up with an attempt to contact Mr. Jansson, but instead spoke with Ms. Anderson who indicated that she could discuss the issues with the client. In another call on 6/23/06, Ms. Anderson agreed to an examiner's amendment.